

## **Posted February 24, 2012**

We have been busy finalizing our response to the Court ordered reduction of pages for the Motion for Writ of Prohibition that we have in front of the Court relating to Lifetime Supervision.

Currently, in response to the Courts request on January 17, 2012 to reduce the page limit, we condensed our motion to a more concise formulation of our arguments to abide by the page limit.

On February 14, 2012 we filed a motion to extend the page limit by 5 pages to account for the seriousness of the nine alleged constitutional issues that we were presenting to the Court.

On February 16, 2012 the Court accepted our motion to extend the page limit, and ordered us to resubmit the motion within ten days for review by the Court.

Today, on February 24, 2012 we filed our certificates of service, and our motion to submit our Writ of Prohibition.

If the Court accepts our First Amendment Petition, attached to the Writ of Prohibition, then, according to the laws of the State of Nevada, this requires a determination within 30 days by the Court.

Since we filed this as an alternative Writ of Prohibition, the Court may actually make a determination and grant an immediate temporary injunction until the Court makes a final decision.

Hopefully, for all of us affected by the unconstitutional and illegal sentence of Lifetime Supervision, and the illegal enforcement of this sentence by the Board of Parole Commissioners and the Division of Parole and Probation, we will finally see an end to our repression, and the removal of all restraints of our constitutional rights.

Please view the Writ of Prohibition filed for the benefit of every person on Lifetime Supervision at the link provided on the previous page.

This member of our organization, who is filing many of these legal arguments, has had to sever his arguments to the Court into separate cases and motions. He has had to update his filings in many ways due to page limits and the constraints of filing different types of motions.

Currently, there are 2 Declaratory Judgments that will be filed on Monday, February 27, 2012. These 2 Motions will be contesting the constitutional legality of the polygraph, and the constitutional right to travel within and without the State.

These Declaratory Judgments will be updated on this site, and posted to view as soon as they are filed.

There is also a Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence still pending, and ready to be filed.

Another Declaratory Judgment will be filed on Wednesday, February 29, 2012. This Declaratory Judgment will contest the constitutional legality of 3 conditions that are restrained. These include the constitutional legality of restraining our right to live in our own residence, due process in enforcing a curfew, and how far they can restrain Contact with a minor under 18 years of age.

The Division of Parole and Probation, specifically Officer Howald is currently enforcing a "no contact with a minor under 18 without the permission of the supervising officer in each and every instance". This is illegal, absurd, ludicrous, and is done entirely without any authority to impose.

Hopefully, you will tune back in and view the Motion in this case to determine if the arguments presented are valid, which we hope the Court will agree with. Many other State Supreme Courts have chosen not to enforce a condition of this type, due to the above stated reasons, and all of these are included in the Motion for the Courts review.

This will also be posted as soon as it filed.

We are currently working on a Motion for Declaratory Judgment in relation to a number of other conditions of Lifetime Supervision, and we will post them as soon as they are filed and accepted by the Court for review. They will hopefully be done in the next week also.

Please continue to support our work, and help us in our fight to keep our constitutional rights in our hands, and to hold the State responsible for their illegal and unconstitutional application and enforcement of the illegal conditions of Lifetime Supervision.